

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/787,566

Applicant(s)

JACOBS ET AL.

Examiner

Alexa A. Doroshenk

Art Unit

1764

**All Participants:**(1) Alexa A. Doroshenk.(2) Matrin Fessenmaier.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 September 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection of claims 16-21*

Claims discussed:

*Pending claims.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Porter et al. 3,859,063 and Rapisarda et al. 2,491,209***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner asked Attorney Fessenmaier for a structural clarification of the term "configured" in newly amended claim 16 which would distinguish it over the Porter et al. reference and with respect to the Rapisarda et al reference. No agreement was reached. The examiner asked if applicant would like to cancel claims 16-30 as the remaining claims are in condition for allowance or receive a Final Rejection. Attorney Fessenmaier did not approve the proposed examiner's amendment..